IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 15997 of 2004

Between:

Kuditipudi Murali Venkata Krishna Rao, S/o Radhakrishnamurthy,
R/o Flat No. 401, Shanta Estates, Motinagar, Hyderabad-18.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principle Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad, Hyderabad.
3 The Inspector of Police, Kukatpally Police Station, K.P.H.B. Ranga Reddy District.
4 S. Radhakrishna, R/o 16-10-144, F-103, Annapoorna Nivas, Old Malakpet, Hyderabad-36.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction, more in the nature of Mandamus, to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more in the nature of Mandamus, by declaring the action of the 3rd respondent to interfear in business dispute between the petitioner and the 4th respondent and forced the petitioner to accept the terms and conditions of the 4th respondent as illegal, arbitrary, against the principles of natural justice and violating of Article 14, 15 ,19 and 21 of the Constitution of India consequently direct the 3rd respondent and his person not to interfere in business dispute between the petitioner and the 4th respondent and not to forced the petitioner to accept the terms of the 4th respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.CHALLA.SRINIVASA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: None appeared

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

-

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home appearing on behalf of respondent
Nos.1 to 3.

This writ petition is filed seeking to declare the action of respondent No.3 in interfering with the business disputes between the petitioner and respondent No.4 and in forcing the petitioner to accept the terms and conditions of respondent No.4, as illegal and arbitrary, and consequently to direct respondent No.3 and his persons not to interfere with the said business disputes and not to force the petitioner to accept the said terms and conditions.

This Court on 10-9-2004 passed interim order directing respondent No.3 not to interfere with the lawful business activity of the petitioner except in accordance with law, pending further orders.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the official respondents are not interfering with the business activities of the petitioner.

Recording the above submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J

Date: 26-4-2005

Svv

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Principle Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad, Hyderabad.
3 The Inspector of Police, Kukatpally Police Station, K.P.H.B. Ranga Reddy District.
4 Two Ccs. to the Government Pleader for Home, High Court Buildings, A.P., Hyderabad (OUT).

5 Two CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**AVLR**}*